

CHEST IMAGING

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Risk factors for hemoptysis complicating 17-18 gauge CT-guided transthoracic needle core biopsy: multivariate analysis of 249 procedures

Guillaume Chassagnon Jules Gregory Marc Al Ahmar Pierre Magdeleinat Paul Legmann Joel Coste Marie Pierre Revel

From the Departments of Radiology (G.C., M.A.A., P.L., M.P.R. \boxtimes marie-pierre.revel@aphp.fr), Biostatistics and Epidemiology (J.G, J.C.) and Thoracic Surgery (P.M.), Paris Descartes University, Sorbonne Paris Cité Groupe Hospitalier Cochin-Hotel Dieu, Paris, France.

Received 26 July 2016; revision requested 6 September 2016; last revision received 7 April 2017; accepted 14 April 2017.

Published online 1 August 2017. DOI 10.5152/dir.2017.160338

PURPOSE

We aimed to identify modifiable and nonmodifiable risk factors for hemoptysis complicating computed tomography (CT)-guided transthoracic needle biopsy.

METHODS

All procedures performed in our institution from November 2013 to May 2015 were reviewed. Hemoptysis was classified as mild if limited to hemoptoic sputum and abundant otherwise. Presence of intra-alveolar hemorrhage on postbiopsy CT images was also evaluated. Patient- and lesion-related variables were considered nonmodifiable, while procedure-related variables were considered modifiable.

RESULTS

A total of 249 procedures were evaluated. Hemoptysis and alveolar hemorrhage occurred in 18% and 58% of procedures, respectively, and were abundant or significant in 8% and 17% of procedures, respectively. Concordance between the occurrence of significant alveolar hemorrhage (grade \geq 2) and hemoptysis was poor (κ =0.28; 95% CI [0.16–0.40]). In multivariate analysis, female gender (P = 0.008), a longer transpulmonary needle path (P = 0.014), and smaller lesion size (P = 0.044) were independent risk factors for hemoptysis. Transpulmonary needle-path length was the only risk factor for abundant hemoptysis with borderline statistical significance (P = 0.049).

CONCLUSION

The transpulmonary needle path should be as short as possible to reduce the risk of abundant hemoptysis during CT-guided transthoracic needle biopsy.

omputed tomography (CT)-guided transthoracic needle biopsy (TTNB) is a well-established method for investigating pulmonary nodules and masses. It offers a better diagnostic yield than bronchoscopy for the assessment of lung nodules and peripheral lesions (1, 2). Referral for CT-guided TTNB is expected to increase in the coming years. One reason is the higher proportion of adenocarcinomas among current lung cancers, which are more likely to be peripheral and not reachable by bronchoscopy (3, 4). Another reason is the recommendation of re-biopsy for molecular analysis of non-small cell lung cancers that progress or recur (5–7). Although TTNB is considered a safe and efficient method for obtaining a definite pathologic diagnosis, complications are reported in up to two-thirds of procedures (8, 9). The three main complications of TTNB are pneumothorax, alveolar hemorrhage, and hemoptysis. These complications are rarely life threatening, and pulmonary bleeding is usually self-resolving. However, severe hemoptysis can necessitate endobronchial tamponade, arterial embolization, or surgery (8, 10).

It is important for the operator to be aware of potentially modifiable risk factors. Several modifiable risk factors for pneumothorax have been reported in the literature, including angle between needle and pleural surface (11), transpulmonary needle-path length (12, 13), patient position during the procedure (11), and operator's level of experience (12). A large pleural angle, a long needle path, the prone position, and limited expertise are all reported to increase the risk of pneumothorax. By contrast, data on risk factors for hemoptysis in this setting are scarce, and most published studies of transthoracic biopsy have combined alveolar hemorrhage and hemoptysis under the term "pulmonary bleeding." Chakrabarti et al. (14)

You may cite this article as: Chassagnon G, Gregory J, Al Ahmar M, et al. Risk factors for hemoptysis complicating 17-18 gauge CT-guided transthoracic needle core biopsy: multivariate analysis of 249 procedures. Diagn Interv Radiol 2017; 23:347–353.

have shown that clinical judgment can reliably assess the risk of pneumothorax but not that of pulmonary bleeding.

The purpose of this study was to identify modifiable and nonmodifiable risk factors for hemoptysis during CT-guided transthoracic needle biopsy.

Methods

The study was approved by the local ethics committee. The need for patients' written informed consent was waived because of the retrospective design.

Procedures

We reviewed all TTNB procedures targeting pulmonary lesions performed in our tertiary referral hospital from November 2013 to May 2015. The following laboratory parameters were required before performing biopsy: platelet count >100 000/mm³, prothrombin time >60%, and partial thromboplastin time \leq 1.5 times the normal limit. Aspirin and clopidogrel were withheld for 5 and 10 days, respectively, and heparin was withheld for 1 day. Pulmonary hypertension is a contraindication to TTNB at our institution.

The procedures were performed under CT guidance using multislice CT devices (Brillance 16, Philips Healthcare; Somatom Sensation 16 or Somatom Definition DS, Siemens Healthcare). The biopsies were performed or supervised by two different radiologists with 15- and 2-year experience in interventional radiology. Coaxial 17-gauge needles with 18-gauge automated cutting needles (Bard Monopty, Bard) were used for all procedures. The automated cutting needle penetration depth was 22 mm.

Patients were positioned in the supine, prone, or lateral position depending on the location of the target lesion. Unenhanced 3 mm thick CT images were used to plan an optimal puncture site and needle trajectory. Local anesthesia was administered by sub-

Main points

- Concordance between the abundance of hemoptysis and that of pulmonary hemorrhage is poor.
- The risk of hemoptysis is higher in small lesions and with longer transpulmonary needle paths.
- The transpulmonary needle path should be as short as possible to reduce the risk of abundant hemoptysis.



Figure 1. Computed tomography (CT) image obtained during CT-guided transthoracic needle biopsy (TTNB) illustrating measurements of transpulmonary needle-path length (measurement A), lesion size (measurement B), and lesion diameter along the needle path (measurement C).





Figure 2. a, b. Axial CT images obtained during CT-guided TTNB illustrating the classification of nodule situation. Panel (**a**) shows a peripheral lung nodule abutting the peripheral pleura. Panel (**b**) shows a nonperipheral lung nodule distant from the peripheral pleura.







Figure 3. a–**c**. Post-biopsy axial CT images illustrating the alveolar hemorrhage grading scheme. Panel (**a**) shows a limited subsegmental ground-glass opacity <2 cm in width along the needle tract in the anterior segment of the right upper lobe, corresponding to a grade 1 hemorrhage. Panel (**b**) shows a >2 cm ground-glass opacity extending in the posterior basal segments of the right lower lobe, corresponding to a grade 2 hemorrhage. Panel (**c**) shows a ground class opacity extending to the right upper lobe, corresponding to grade 3 hemorrhage.

Table 1. Patients, lesions, and procedures						
	No hemoptysis n=205	Any hemoptysis n=44	Mild hemoptysis n=24	Abundant hemoptysis n=20		
Nonmodifiable parameters						
Female gender	64 (31)	24 (54)	14 (58)	10 (50)		
Age (years)	66.8±10.4	68.3±10.2	66.9±8.4	70.1±12.0		
Lesion size (cm)	4.4±4.1	2.7±1.7	2.5±1.2	3.0±2.2		
Nonperipheral location	96 (47)	26 (59)	15 (63)	11 (55)		
Lower lobe location	67 (33)	20 (45)	12 (50)	8 (40)		
Lesion morphology						
Cavitated	12 (6)	3 (7)	3 (12)	0		
Solid	177 (87)	39 (89)	21 (88)	18 (90)		
Subsolid	5 (2)	1 (2)	0	1 (5)		
Necrotic	11 (5)	1 (2)	0	1 (5)		
Lesion attenuation (Hounsfield units)	37.2±19.9	39.3±25.5	32.5±19.6	49.4 (30)		
Histologic type						
Adenocarcinoma	76 (37)	22 (50)	11 (46)	11 (55)		
Squamous cell carcinoma	29 (14)	4 (9)	2 (8)	2 (10)		
Metastasis	23 (11)	5 (11)	4 (17)	1 (5)		
Other tumor	39 (19)	7 (16)	3 (12)	4 (20)		
Nontumoral	38 (19)	6 (14)	4 (17)	2 (10)		
Modifiable parameters						
Patient position						
Prone	78 (38)	16 (36)	9 (37)	7 (35)		
Supine	86 (42)	19 (43)	10 (42)	9 (45)		
Contralateral decubitus	41 (20)	9 (20)	5 (21)	4 (20)		
Ipsilateral decubitus	23 (11)	6 (14)	4 (17)	2 (10)		
Transpulmonary needle-path length (cm)	1.5±1.6	2.5±1.9	2.4±1.9	2.5±1.9		
Coaxial tip outside the target lesion	31 (15)	10 (23)	2 (8)	8 (40)		
Lesion diameter along the needle path (cm)	2.3±1.7	1.7 ±1.3	1.6±0.8	1.9 ±1.7		
<2.2 cm	119 (58)	34 (77)	18 (75)	16 (80)		
Operator:						
Operator 1	141 (69)	29 (66)	16 (67)	13 (66)		
Operator 2	64 (31)	15 (34)	8 (33)	7 (34)		
Number of per-procedure CT acquisitions until biopsy	2.0±1.3	2.7±1.8	2.5±1.7	3.0±1.9		
Number of tissue sampling	2.9±1.0	2.5±1.1	2.6±1.6	2.3±1.0		
Data are presented as n (%) or mean ± standard deviation.						

cutaneous injection of 2% lidocaine. The needle position was checked several times until the coaxial tip reached the proper position for biopsy. The number of samples was indicated on the radiologic report. After needle removal, postbiopsy CT images were systematically acquired to detect complications. Patients were observed for 30 minutes in the radiology department. They were discharged the day after the procedure if the chest X-ray showed no signs of pneumothorax.

Study variables

CT images of the procedures, radiologic reports, and patient charts were reviewed

in consensus by two radiologists (G.C. and M.A.A.) with respectively 3 years and 1 year of experience in chest imaging.

The study variables are shown in Table 1 and in Figs. 1, 2, and 3. Patient- and lesion-related variables were considered nonmodifiable, while procedure-related variables were considered modifiable. Patient-related variables included age and sex. Lesion-related variables included lesion size, defined as the longest diameter in the axial plane (Fig. 1), the lobar location (lower lobes or elsewhere), peripheral (contact between the lesion and the peripheral pleura) or nonperipheral location (Fig. 2), attenuation characteristics (distinguishing solid, subsolid, cavitated, and necrotic lesions), and the final pathologic diagnosis. Pathologic findings were divided into 5 categories: adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, metastasis of extrathoracic malignancies, other tumors, and benign lesions.

Procedure-related variables included the radiologist's experience level, the patient's position, the number of consecutive CT acquisitions after pleural crossing performed until the needle tip reached the proper position for biopsy, the coaxial tip position (inside or outside the target lesion), the length of the transpulmonary needle path, and the lesion diameter along the needle track, the latter two dimensions being measured on the last CT images acquired prior to the first sampling (Fig. 1). The number of tissue sampling was also analyzed.

Postbiopsy CT images were analyzed to detect new ground-glass opacities indicating alveolar hemorrhage. The structured reports used in our institution, which mention hemoptysis when present, were also reviewed for hemoptysis and its abundance. Hemoptysis was categorized as mild when limited to hemoptoic sputum, and otherwise as abundant. Alveolar hemorrhage defined as any new ground-glass opacity was considered grade 1 in case of needle tract hemorrhage 2 cm or less in width, grade 2 if measuring more than 2 cm in width, and grade 3 when lobar or greater, as derived from the classification used by Tai et al. (15) (Fig. 3). Each patient's hospital charts were reviewed to determine if specific treatment for hemoptysis had been necessary.

We considered that a definitive diagnosis had been obtained when the pathologic report indicated a precise tumoral or nontumoral diagnosis.

<table-container>Image: space space</table-container>	Table 2. Univariate analysis of nonmodifiable and modifiable parameters as predictors of hemoptysis							
Odds ratio (9%)()POdds ratio (9%)()POdds ratio (9%)()PNormaleFernale gender2.64 (1.37-5.17)0.0022.86 (1.22-6.2)0.0041.30 (0.9-1.00)0.001Age (year)1.01 (0.9-1.00)0.0010.68 (0.49-0.80)-0.0010.84 (0.65-1.02)0.002Nonepriperal location1.74 (0.8.3-2.0)0.011.44 (0.8.3-2.0)0.0011.30 (0.52-3.3)0.46Lesion robpoly0.05-0.0010.0021.27 (0.48-3.0)0.010.001Solid2.72 (0.3-6.03)-0.03-0.01-0001.00 (0.7-000)0.001Solid2.20 (0.7-6.38)0.00-001.20 (1.07-25.0)-0.02Solid2.20 (0.7-6.38)0.00-001.00 (0.69-00)1.00 (0.69-00)0.00Solid2.20 (0.07-6.38)0.000.000.000.00Solid2.20 (0.07-6.38)0.00 (0.69-0)1.00 (0.69-00)0.00Netrotic1.00 (0.69-00)1.00 (0.69-00)1.00 (0.69-00)0.00Solid2.20 (0.7-3.69)1.00 (0.69-00)1.00 (0.69-00)0.00Netrotic1.00 (0.69-00)1.00 (0.69-00)1.00 (0.69-00)0.00 (0.69-00)Solid1.00 (0.69-00)1.00 (0.69-00)1.00 (0.69-00)0.00Solid1.00 (0.69-00)1.00 (0.69-00)1.00 (0.69-00)0.00Solid1.00 (0.69-00)1.00 (0.69-00)1.00 (0.69-00)0.00Solid1.00 (0.69-00)1.00 (0.69-00)1.00 (0.69-00)0.00Solid1.00		Any hemopty	rsis	Mild hemopty	sis	Abundant hemoptysis		
Nemadilable parametersviewviewviewFenal gender264(137-517)0.0022.86(12-6.27)0.0022.44(0.76-10.0)0.010Age jears)0.015(06-0.89)0.0000.68(0.40-0.80)0.0010.44(0.57-10.0)0.012Norperipheral location1.54(0.83-3.20)0.131.84(0.78-4.50)0.0400.20100.406.57-10.0)0.012Lower lobe location1.72(0.88-3.20)0.102.00(0.88-4.71)0.041.27(0.48-3.20)0.010.010.01Cavitated2.75(0.30-6.03)=0.450.01-10.001.02(0.72-5.03)1.02(0.72-5.03)1.02(0.72-5.03)1.02(0.72-5.03)0.00-901.02(0.72-5.03)1.02(0.72-		Odds ratio (95% CI)	Р	Odds ratio (95% CI)	Р	Odds ratio (95% CI)	Р	
Fende gender2.64 (137-517)0.0022.86 (122-632)0.0022.49 (0.76-4.91)0.004Age (vars)1.01 (0.98-1.05)0.051.00 (0.96-1.04)0.941.03 (0.97-1.03)0.065Lesion size (m)0.75 (0.60.09)0.06 (0.94-0.88)0.0041.03 (0.95-1.03)0.026Nonperipherel location1.72 (0.88-32)0.101.84 (0.78-4.34)0.0441.05 (0.52-3.33)0.05Lesion morphology0.650.900.0191.12 (0.17-25.03)1.12 (0.17-25.03)1.12 (0.17-25.03)Subsolid2.20 (0.07-4.31)0.020.00-901.12 (0.17-25.03)0.00-90Subsolid2.02 (0.07-4.31)0.020.00-901.00 (0.00-20.11)0.00Necroic1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)0.00-901.00 (0.00-20.11)Subsolid1.00 (0.92-102)0.560.99 (0.96-101)0.111.02 (1.00-105)0.00Necroic1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.24 (0.16-38.71)0.00Squamous cell carinoma1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.24 (0.16-38.71)1.24 (0.16-38.71)1.24 (0.16-38.71)Squamous cell carinoma1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.24 (0.16-38.71)1.24 (0.16-38.71)1.24 (0.16-38.71)Squamous cell carinoma1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.24 (0.16-38.71)1.24 (0.16-38.71)1.24 (0.16-38.71)Squamous cell carinoma1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.24 (0.16-38.71)1.00 (re	Nonmodifiable parameters							
<table-container>Age years)101 (098-108)0.33100 (096-104)0.341.31 (099-104)0.000Leion size (m)0.75 (60-08)0.0010.86 (0.4-0.8)0.4010.40 (0.51-0.3)0.40Nove pice location1.40 (0.33-0.3)0.400.4001.27 (0.4-3.3)0.401.27 (0.4-3.3)0.40Leion mothology0.500.000 (0.4-0.3)0.401.27 (0.4-3.3)0.401.27 (0.4-3.3)1.00 (0.4-1.0)1.00 (0.4-1.</table-container>	Female gender	2.64 (1.37–5.17)	0.002	2.86 (1.22–6.92)	0.002	2.94 (0.76–4.91)	0.061	
Lesion size (cm)0.75 (0.60-0.89)<0.0010.68 (0.49-0.89)<0.0010.84 (0.65-1.02)0.002Nonperpheral location1.64 (0.83-3.20)0.131.84 (0.78-4.54)0.0741.30 (0.23-3.0)0.46Lower lobe location1.72 (0.88-3.20)0.000.00 (0.88-4.71)0.071.27 (0.84-3.20)0.07Cavitade2.75 (0.30-6.03) \circ (0.45- \circ)0.00 (-19.00)1.12 (0.17-25.03)1.12 (0.17-25.03)Subsolid2.20 (0.07-6.38)0.00 (-0- \circ)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)0.20Lesion attenuation (Hounsfield units)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.74 (0.16-38.71).02Adenocationma1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference).02Metastasis1.58 (0.38-7.01)2.58 (0.46-1378)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference).02Nortumoral1.01 (0.642-38)1.55 (0.28-11.70)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference).00Supple1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference).00Supple1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)<	Age (years)	1.01 (0.98–1.05)	0.35	1.00 (0.96–1.04)	0.94	1.03 (0.99–1.08)	0.067	
Nonperipherallocation144 (083-320)0.13144 (078-454)0.074130 (052-330)0.04Lower loke location1.72 (083-32)0.002.00 (088-47)0.0461.27 (084-32)0.05Leism marphology2.75 (030-630)-(0.45-cs)0.00 (-90 (-90 (-90 (-90 (-90 (-90 (-90 (-	Lesion size (cm)	0.75 (0.60–0.89)	<0.001	0.68 (0.49–0.88)	<0.001	0.84 (0.65–1.02)	0.026	
Lewer iobe location1.72 (0.88-3.2)0.102.00 (0.88-4.71)0.0461.72 (0.48-3.20)0.50Lesion morphology0.650.651Actitated2.75 (0.30-60.31) \circ (0.45- \circ)1.12 (0.17-25.03)Subolid2.20 (0.07-63.85)0 (0- \circ)2.10 (0.67-5.03)Subolid2.02 (0.07-63.85)0.00- \circ)0.0111.02 (1.00-105)Necroic1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)0.02Lesion attenuation (Hounsfield units)1.00 (0.99-10.2)0.560.99 (0.96-10.1)0.0111.02 (1.00-105)0.02Metancarcinoma1.00 (0.97-10.2)1.96 (0.49-13.12)3.41 (0.62-63.81)0.020.02Adenocarcinoma1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)0.00Metatasis1.30 (0.67-5.36)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)0.00Nontumoral1.14 (0.30-4.83)1.55 (0.28-11.70)1.25 (0.26-51.75)0.930.93Prone1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)0.00Supine1.00 (0.66 (0.01-30)0.090.001.07 (0.42-38)0.000.930.93Supine1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)0.00Supine1.00 (0.66 (0.01-30)0.0400.83 (0.3-3.01)0.2020.201 (0.63 (0.11)0.0200.001Supine1.00 (refer	Nonperipheral location	1.64 (0.83–3.20)	0.13	1.84 (0.78–4.54)	0.074	1.30 (0.52–3.30)	0.46	
Leion monhology0.650.0591Civitade2.75 (0.30-cm) \circ (0.47-cm) $0.0-19.00$ $0.0-19.00$ Solid2.42 (0.45-443) \circ (0.28-cm) $1.12 (0.17-25.03)$ Subsidi2.20 (0.7-633) $0.0(-0-10)$ $0.12 (0.100.69-21.11)$ Norcit1.00 (referenc) $1.00 (referenc)$ $0.010 (0.100 (0.$	Lower lobe location	1.72 (0.88–3.32)	0.10	2.00 (0.88–4.71)	0.046	1.27 (0.48–3.20)	0.50	
Cavitated2,75 (3.03-06.31) (0.45-m) (0.1-19.01)Solid2,22 (0.07-63.85)0 (0-m)2,12 (0.17-52.03)Necotic1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)Liston attenuation (hounsfield units)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)0,02Adenocarinoma1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)0,22Adenocarinoma1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)Metatasis1,58 (0.38-701)2,58 (0.46-17.88)2,57 (0.36-51.75)1,00 (reference)Other tumor1,30 (0.65-3.68)1,08 (0.17-8.58)2,57 (0.36-51.75)1,00 (reference)Metatasis1,58 (0.38-701)2,58 (0.46-19.78)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)Metatasis1,58 (0.38-701)2,58 (0.46-19.78)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)Metatasis1,58 (0.38-701)2,58 (0.46-19.78)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)Metatasis1,58 (0.38-701)1,08 (0.17-8.58)2,57 (0.36-51.75)1,00 (reference)Metatasis1,58 (0.38-701)1,08 (0.17-8.58)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)Supine1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)Supine1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)Supine1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)Supine1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference)1,00 (reference) </td <td>Lesion morphology</td> <td></td> <td>0.65</td> <td></td> <td>0.59</td> <td></td> <td>1</td>	Lesion morphology		0.65		0.59		1	
Solid242(045-4498)α (0.28-m)1.12 (0.17-25.03)Subsolid2.02 (0.07-63.85)0 (0-m)2.10 (0.05-92.11)Nectoic1.00 (ofference)1.00 (ofference)1.00 (ofference)Instantation (Hounsfield units)0.0090.050.09 (0.6-0.01)0.10Mistologi type0.120.020.020.02Adenocarcinoma1.00 (ofference)1.00 (ofference)1.02 (0.07-67.63)0.02Squamous cell carcinoma1.03 (0.35-36)1.08 (0.17-8.58)2.57 (0.36-51.75)1.00 (ofference)Ontumori1.01 (0.66 (0.16)1.02 (0.17-8.58)2.57 (0.36-51.75)0.02Prine1.01 (ofference)1.02 (0.17-8.58)2.57 (0.36-51.75)0.02Prine1.01 (ofference)1.01 (0.06 (0.16)0.020.02Spipine1.00 (ofference)1.01 (0.06 (0.16)1.00 (ofference)0.02Ipaliard acubitiva1.02 (0.12-23)1.02 (0.12-23)1.01 (0.02-23)0.02Ipaliard acubitiva1.02 (0.12-23)1.02 (0.12-23)0.021.01 (0.12-23)0.02Ipaliard acubitiva1.02 (0.12-23)0.022.01 (0.12-13)0.020.02Ipaliard acubitiva1.02 (0.12-33)0.022.01 (0.12-13)0.020.02Ipaliard acubitiva1.02 (0.12-33)0.020.020.020.020.02Ipaliard acubitiva1.02 (0.12-33)0.020.020.020.020.02Ipaliard acubitiva1.02 (0.12-33)0.020.020.020.020.02	Cavitated	2.75 (0.30–60.31)		∞ (0.45–∞)		0 (0–19.00)		
Subsidid220(07-63.8)0(0)2.10(05-92.1)Necrotic100 (reference)1.00 (reference)0.00Isclogic type0.00 (0.99-102)0.560.99 (0.96-101)0.110.21(0.10-10.5)0.02Histologic type0.310.00(99-102)0.560.99 (0.96-101)0.110.01(0-ference)0.22Adenocarinoma2.10 (0.77-763)1.96 (0.4-13.1)3.41 (0.26-33.1)1.95 (0.4-13.1)3.41 (0.05 (0.4-13.1)1.00 (reference)1.00 (refere	Solid	2.42 (0.45–44.95)		∞ (0.28–∞)		1.12 (0.17–25.03)		
Necrotic 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 0.00 Histologic type 0.54 0.99 0.96-1.01 0.10 1.02 (1.00-1.50) 0.02 Adenocarcinoma 2.10 (0.73-7.63) 1.96 (0.49-13.12) 5.41 (0.62-63.87) 0.22 Squamous cell carcinoma 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.74 (0.16-38.71) Metastasis 1.58 (0.38-7.01) 2.58 (0.46-19.78) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) Other tumor 1.30 (0.36-5.36) 1.08 (0.17-8.58) 2.57 (0.36-51.75) 1.00 (reference) Montamoral 1.30 (0.36-5.36) 1.08 (0.17-8.58) 2.57 (0.36-51.75) 1.00 (reference) Montamoral 1.30 (0.36-5.36) 1.08 (0.17-8.58) 2.57 (0.36-51.75) 1.00 (reference) Montamoral 1.30 (0.36-5.36) 1.08 (0.17-8.58) 2.57 (0.36-51.75) 9.99 Porter 1.00 (reference)	Subsolid	2.20 (0.07–63.85)		0 (0–∞)		2.10 (0.05–92.11)		
Lesion attenuation (Hounsfield units) 1.00 (099-102) 0.56 0.99 (096-1.01) 0.11 1.02 (1.00-1.50) 0.022 Histologic type 0.54 0.53 0.22 Adenocarcinoma 2.10 (0.73-7.63) 1.96 (0.49-13.12) 3.41 (0.62-63.81) 0.22 Squamous cell carcinoma 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.74 (0.16-38.71) 1.00 (reference) Metastasis 1.58 (0.38-7.01) 2.58 (0.46-19.78) 1.00 (reference) 1.29 (0.12-28.46) Other tumor 1.30 (0.36-5.36) 1.08 (0.17-8.58) 2.57 (0.36-51.75) 0.93 Nontumoral 1.14 (0.30-4.83) 1.55 (0.28-11.70) 1.29 (0.12-28.46) 0.93 Prone 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 0.93 Supine 1.00 (reference)	Necrotic	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)		
Histogictype0.540.530.22Adencarinoma2.10(0.73-76.3)1.96(0.49-13.12)3.41(0.62-63.61)Squanous cel carinoma1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)1.74(0.16-38.71)Metastas1.58 (0.38-70.0)2.58 (0.46-19.78)1.00 (referece)Other tumor1.30(0.36-33.6)1.08 (0.17-85.8)2.57 (0.36-51.75)Nottumoral1.14 (0.30-48.8)1.55 (0.28-11.0)1.29 (0.12-28.46)Portertomor1.00 (referece)1.090.990.93Protertomor1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)Spine1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)Spine1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)Interal contained1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)Spine1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)Interal contained proteined participation1.05 (referece)1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)Interal contained proteined participation1.65 (referece)1.00 (referece)1.00 (referece)Interal contained proteined participation1.65 (referece)1.60 (referece)1.00 (referece)Interal contained proteined participation1.65 (referece)1.60 (referece)1.00 (referece)Interal contained participation1.65 (referece)1.60 (referece)1.60 (referece)1.60 (referece)Interal contained participation1.65 (referece)1.60 (referece) <t< td=""><td>Lesion attenuation (Hounsfield units)</td><td>1.00 (0.99–1.02)</td><td>0.56</td><td>0.99 (0.96–1.01)</td><td>0.11</td><td>1.02 (1.00–1.05)</td><td>0.002</td></t<>	Lesion attenuation (Hounsfield units)	1.00 (0.99–1.02)	0.56	0.99 (0.96–1.01)	0.11	1.02 (1.00–1.05)	0.002	
Adenocarcinoma 2.10 (0.73-7.63) 1.96 (0.49-13.12) 3.41 (0.62-63.81) Squamous cell carcinoma 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.74 (0.16-38.71) Metastasis 1.58 (0.38-7.01) 2.58 (0.46-19.78) 1.00 (reference) Other tumor 1.30 (0.36-5.36) 1.08 (0.17-8.58) 2.57 (0.36-51.75) Nontumoral 1.41 (0.30-4.83) 1.55 (0.28-11.70) 1.29 (0.12-28.46) Porter 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 0.93 Prone 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) Supine 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) I tateral 1.07 (0.42-259) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) I parket decubitus 1.25 (0.41-311) 0.65 1.60 (4.1-6.35) 0.40 0.401 0.002 I cataral 1.07 (0.42-259) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 0.001 0.005 0.004 0.810 (0.1-0.1) 0.002 I parket decubitus 1.56 (0.71-3.59) 0.201 0.004 0.870 (0.1-0.1) 0.001 0.004 0.870 (0.1-0.1) 0.001	Histologic type		0.54		0.53		0.22	
Squanous cell carcinoma 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.74 (0.16-38.7) Metasais 1.58 (0.38-7.01) 2.58 (0.46-19.78) 1.00 (reference) Other tumor 1.30 (0.36-5.36) 1.88 (0.17-8.58) 2.57 (0.36-51.75) Nontumoral 1.14 (0.30-4.83) 1.55 (0.28-11.70) 1.29 (0.12-28.64) Prome 1.00 (reference) 1.09 (0.52-28.64) 1.09 (0.52-28.64) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) Prome 1.00 (reference) 0.00 (reference) 0.00 (reference) 0.00 (reference) 0.00 (reference)<	Adenocarcinoma	2.10 (0.73–7.63)		1.96 (0.49–13.12)		3.41 (0.62–63.81)		
Metatasis158 (038-7.01)258 (046-19.78)1.00 (orference)Other tumor130 (036-5.36)1.08 (0.17-8.58)2.57 (0.36-51.75)Nontumoral1.14 (030-4.83)1.55 (028-11.00)1.29 (0.12-28.46)Modifable parameters0.990.990.93Patient position0.980.990.93Supine1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)Supine1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)1.00 (reference)Interal1.07 (042-259)1.06 (031-3.16)0.0600.013Interal decubitus1.25 (0.44-3.11)0.651.60 (0.44-4.65)0.400.020Interal decubitus1.56 (0.71-3.59)0.222.31 (0.64-1.47)0.030.001Interal ong the needle axis (cm)0.75 (0.56-0.96)0.0150.66 (0.41-0.95)0.040.87 (0.60-1.17)0.25Interal ong the needle axis (cm)0.75 (0.56-0.96)0.0150.66 (0.41-0.95)0.042.69 (1.01-9.60)0.013Interal ong the needle axis (cm)0.75 (0.56-0.96)0.0150.66 (0.41-0.95)0.042.69 (1.01-9.60)0.013Interal ong the needle axis (cm)0.75 (0.56-0.96)0.0150.66 (0.41-0.95)0.042.69 (1.01-9.60)0.016Interal ong the needle axis (cm)0.75 (0.56-0.96)0.0150.66 (0.41-0.95)0.042.69 (1.01-9.60)0.016Interal ong the needle axis (cm)0.75 (0.56-0.96)0.0150.66 (0.41-0.95)0.042.69 (1.01-9.60)0.66Interal ong the needle axis (cm)	Squamous cell carcinoma	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)		1.74 (0.16–38.71)		
Other tumor 1.30 (0.36–5.36) 1.08 (0.17–8.58) 2.57 (0.36–51.7) Nontumoral 1.14 (0.30–4.83) 1.55 (0.28–11.70) 1.29 (0.12–28.40) Modifable parameters 5.90 0.93 Patient position 0.98 1.07 (0.38–2.61) 1.00 (reference) 0.93 Prone 1.00 (reference) 1.07 (0.38–2.61) 1.00 (reference) 0.93 Lateral 1.07 (0.42–2.59) 1.00 (reference) 1.08 (0.27–3.77) 0.000 Ipsilateral decubitus 1.25 (0.44–3.11) 0.65 1.60 (0.41–4.65) 0.34 0.83 (0.31–3.10) 0.002 Coaxial tip outside the target lesion 1.36 (1.14–1.65) 0.22 2.31 (0.64–1.47) 0.13 4.01 (A=5–1.33) 0.02 Coaxial tip outside the target lesion 1.65 (0.71–3.59) 0.22 2.31 (0.64–1.07) 0.33 0.401 0.55 Operator 0.75 (0.56–0.90) 0.015 0.666 (0.41–0.95) 0.040 0.87 (0.60–1.17) 0.56 Operator 1 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 0.010 0.020 0.011 0.020 <th< td=""><td>Metastasis</td><td>1.58 (0.38–7.01)</td><td></td><td>2.58 (0.46–19.78)</td><td></td><td>1.00 (reference)</td><td></td></th<>	Metastasis	1.58 (0.38–7.01)		2.58 (0.46–19.78)		1.00 (reference)		
Nontumoral 1.14 (0.30-48.3) 1.55 (0.28-11.70) 1.29 (0.12-28.46) Modifiable parameters 0.99 0.99 0.93 Patient position 0.90 (reference) 1.07 (0.38-2.61) 1.00 (reference) 0.93 Supine 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.01 (reference) 0.93 Lateral 1.07 (0.42-259) 1.06 (0.31-3.16) 0.83 (0.27-3.77) 0.83 0.83 (0.27-3.77) Ipaleral decubitus 1.25 (0.44-3.11) 0.65 1.60 (0.44-4.65) 0.34 0.83 (0.13-3.10) 0.02 Goaxial tip outside the target lesion 1.65 (0.71-3.59) 0.22 2.31 (0.64-14.77) 0.30 0.401 0.502 Coaxial tip outside the target lesion 1.65 (0.71-3.59) 0.22 2.31 (0.64-14.77) 0.33 0.401.45-10.30 0.002 Coaxial tip outside the target lesion 0.75 (0.56-0.96) 0.015 0.66 (0.41-0.95) 0.004 0.87 (0.60-1.71) 0.25 Qperator 0.70 (reference) 0.701 0.201 0.201 0.201 0.204 0.201 Operator 1	Other tumor	1.30 (0.36–5.36)		1.08 (0.17–8.58)		2.57 (0.36–51.75)		
Modifiable parameters 0.98 0.99 0.93 Prione 1.00 (reference) 1.07 (0.38–2.61) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) Supine 1.08 (0.52–2.26) 1.00 (reference) 1.17 (0.42–3.38) 1.17 (0.42–3.37) I pasilateral decubitus 1.25 (0.44–3.11) 0.65 1.66 (0.41–6.55) 0.34 0.83 (0.13–3.10) 0.75 Transpulmonary needle-path length (cm) 1.36 (1.41–1.65) <0.001	Nontumoral	1.14 (0.30–4.83)		1.55 (0.28–11.70)		1.29 (0.12–28.46)		
Patient position 0.98 0.99 0.93 Prone 1.00 (reference) 1.07 (032-2.61) 1.00 (reference) 1.17 (0.42-3.38) Supine 1.07 (042-2.59) 1.06 (0.31-3.16) 1.08 (0.52-2.61) 1.08 (0.52-2.61) 1.08 (0.52-2.61) 1.08 (0.52-2.61) 1.06 (0.31-3.16) 1.08 (0.52-3.67) 1.08 (0.52-3.67) 1.08 (0.52-3.67) 1.08 (0.52-3.67) 1.08 (0.52-3.67) 1.08 (0.52-3.67) 1.08 (0.52-3.67) 1.08 (0.52-3.67) 1.08 (0.52-3.67) 1.08 (0.52-3.67) 1.08 (0.52-3.67) 1.08 (0.52-3.67) 1.08 (0.52-3.67) 1.08 (0.52-3.67) 1.03 (0.64-1.67) 0.034 0.83 (0.13-3.10) 0.002 I cavaia tip outside the target lesion 1.55 (0.71-3.59) 0.22 2.31 (0.64-1.47) 0.13 4.01 (45-1.03) <0.001	Modifiable parameters							
Prone 1.00 (reference) 1.07 (0.38–2.61) 1.00 (reference) Supine 1.08 (0.52–2.26) 1.00 (reference) 1.17 (0.42–3.38) Lateral 1.07 (0.42–2.59) 1.06 (0.31–3.16) 1.08 (0.52–3.77) Ipsilateral decubitus 1.25 (0.44–3.11) 0.65 1.6 (0.44–4.65) 0.34 0.83 (0.13–3.10) 0.072 Transpulmonary needle-path length (cm) 1.36 (1.14–1.65) <0.001	Patient position		0.98		0.99		0.93	
Supine 1.08 (0.52–2.26) 1.00 (reference) 1.17 (0.42–3.38) Lateral 1.07 (0.42–2.59) 1.06 (0.31–3.16) 1.08 (0.27–3.77) Ipsilateral decubitus 1.25 (0.44–3.11) 0.65 1.6 (0.44–4.65) 0.34 0.83 (0.13–3.10) 0.075 Transpulmonary needle-path length (cm) 1.36 (1.14–1.65) <0.001	Prone	1.00 (reference)		1.07 (0.38–2.61)		1.00 (reference)		
Lateral 1.07 (0.42–2.59) 1.06 (0.31–3.16) 1.08 (0.27–3.77) Ipsilateral decubitus 1.25 (0.44–3.11) 0.65 1.6 (0.44–4.65) 0.34 0.83 (0.13–3.10) 0.75 Transpulmonary needle-path length (cm) 1.36 (1.14–1.65) <0.001 1.29 (1.03–1.62) 0.006 1.34 (1.04–1.71) 0.002 Coaxial tip outside the target lesion 1.65 (0.71–3.59) 0.22 2.31 (0.64–14.77) 0.13 4.0 (1.45–10.33) <0.001 Lesion diameter along the needle axis (cm) 0.75 (0.56–0.96) 0.015 0.66 (0.41–0.95) 0.004 0.87 (0.60–1.17) 0.25 2.45 (1.19–5.49) 0.011 2.00 (0.80–5.69) 0.064 2.69 (1.01–9.60) 0.013 Operator 1.00 (reference) 0.750 0.82 0.66	Supine	1.08 (0.52–2.26)		1.00 (reference)		1.17 (0.42–3.38)		
Ipsilateral decubitus 1.25 (0.44-3.11) 0.65 1.6 (0.44-4.65) 0.34 0.83 (0.13-3.10) 0.75 Transpulmonary needle-path length (cm) 1.36 (1.14-1.65) <0.001	<2.2 cm	Lateral	1.07 (0.42–2.59)		1.06 (0.31–3.16)		1.08 (0.27–3.77)	
Transpulmonary needle-path length (cm) 1.36 (1.14-1.65) <0.001 1.29 (1.03-1.62) 0.006 1.34 (1.04-1.71) 0.002 Coaxial tip outside the target lesion 1.65 (0.71-3.59) 0.22 2.31 (0.64-14.77) 0.13 4.0 (1.45-10.33) <0.001 Lesion diameter along the needle axis (cm) 0.75 (0.56-0.96) 0.015 0.66 (0.41-0.95) 0.004 0.87 (0.60-1.17) 0.25 < 2.22 cm 2.45 (1.19-5.49) 0.011 2.00 (0.80-5.69) 0.064 2.69 (1.01-9.60) 0.013 Operator 0.70 0.82 0.66 0.66 0.66 0.67 0.66 Operator 1 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 0.66 1.00 (reference) 0.66 Operator 2 1.14 (0.56-2.44) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.17 (0.43-2.99) 1.17 (0.43-2.99) Number of per-procedure CT acquisitions until biopsy 1.33 (1.08-1.62) 0.011 0.79 (0.50-1.21) 0.19 0.55 (0.31-0.91) 0.001 Number of tissue sampling 0.65 (0.44-0.92) 0.011 0.79 (0.50-1.21) 0.19 0.55 (0.31-0.91) 0.001	Ipsilateral decubitus	1.25 (0.44–3.11)	0.65	1.6 (0.44–4.65)	0.34	0.83 (0.13–3.10)	0.75	
Coaxial tip outside the target lesion 1.65 (0.71–3.59) 0.22 2.31 (0.64–14.77) 0.13 4.0 (1.45–10.33) <0.001 Lesion diameter along the needle axis (cm) 0.75 (0.56–0.96) 0.015 0.66 (0.41–0.95) 0.004 0.87 (0.60–1.17) 0.25 <2.2 cm	Transpulmonary needle-path length (cm)	1.36 (1.14–1.65)	<0.001	1.29 (1.03–1.62)	0.006	1.34 (1.04–1.71)	0.002	
Lesion diameter along the needle axis (cm) 0.75 (0.56-0.96) 0.015 0.66 (0.41-0.95) 0.004 0.87 (0.60-1.17) 0.25 <2.2 cm	Coaxial tip outside the target lesion	1.65 (0.71–3.59)	0.22	2.31 (0.64–14.77)	0.13	4.0 (1.45–10.33)	<0.001	
<2.2 cm 2.45 (1.19–5.49) 0.011 2.00 (0.80–5.69) 0.064 2.69 (1.01–9.60) 0.013 Operator 0.70 0.82 0.66 Operator 1 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 0.013 Operator 2 1.14 (0.56–2.44) 1.08 (0.42–2.59) 1.17 (0.43–2.99) Number of per-procedure CT acquisitions until biopsy 0.65 (0.44–0.92) 0.011 0.79 (0.50–1.21) 0.19 0.55 (0.31–0.91) 0.001	Lesion diameter along the needle axis (cm)	0.75 (0.56–0.96)	0.015	0.66 (0.41–0.95)	0.004	0.87 (0.60–1.17)	0.25	
Operator 0.70 0.82 0.66 Operator 1 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) Operator 2 1.14 (0.56-2.44) 1.08 (0.42-2.59) 1.17 (0.43-2.99) 1.17 (0.43-2.99) Number of per-procedure CT acquisitions until biopsy 1.33 (1.08-1.62) 0.005 1.17 (0.89-1.49) 0.15 1.38 (1.07-1.76) 0.001 Number of tissue sampling 0.65 (0.44-0.92) 0.01 0.79 (0.50-1.21) 0.19 0.55 (0.31-0.91) 0.001	<2.2 cm	2.45 (1.19–5.49)	0.011	2.00 (0.80–5.69)	0.064	2.69 (1.01–9.60)	0.013	
Operator 1 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) 1.00 (reference) Operator 2 1.14 (0.56-2.44) 1.08 (0.42-2.59) 1.17 (0.43-2.99) Number of per-procedure CT acquisitions until biopsy 1.33 (1.08-1.62) 0.005 1.17 (0.89-1.49) 0.15 1.38 (1.07-1.76) 0.001 Number of tissue sampling 0.65 (0.44-0.92) 0.011 0.79 (0.50-1.21) 0.19 0.55 (0.31-0.91) 0.001	Operator		0.70		0.82		0.66	
Operator 2 1.14 (0.56-2.44) 1.08 (0.42-2.59) 1.17 (0.43-2.99) Number of per-procedure CT acquisitions until biopsy 1.33 (1.08-1.62) 0.005 1.17 (0.89-1.49) 0.15 1.38 (1.07-1.76) 0.001 Number of tissue sampling 0.65 (0.44-0.92) 0.011 0.79 (0.50-1.21) 0.19 0.55 (0.31-0.91) 0.001	Operator 1	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)		
Number of per-procedure CT acquisitions until biopsy 1.33 (1.08–1.62) 0.005 1.17 (0.89–1.49) 0.15 1.38 (1.07–1.76) 0.001 Number of tissue sampling 0.65 (0.44–0.92) 0.011 0.79 (0.50–1.21) 0.19 0.55 (0.31–0.91) 0.001	Operator 2	1.14 (0.56–2.44)		1.08 (0.42–2.59)		1.17 (0.43–2.99)		
Number of tissue sampling 0.65 (0.44-0.92) 0.011 0.79 (0.50-1.21) 0.19 0.55 (0.31-0.91) 0.001	Number of per-procedure CT acquisitions until biopsy	1.33 (1.08–1.62)	0.005	1.17 (0.89–1.49)	0.15	1.38 (1.07–1.76)	0.001	
	Number of tissue sampling	0.65 (0.44–0.92)	0.011	0.79 (0.50–1.21)	0.19	0.55 (0.31–0.91)	0.001	

Statistical analysis

Standard descriptive analyses (proportions, means, and standard deviations [SD]) and univariate analyses were performed first, using the Chi-square test for categorical variables and the Wilcoxon test for continuous variables. A logistic regression model was then used to identify predictors of hemoptysis, and a multinomial regression model was used to identify predictors of hemoptysis abundance. For multivariate analysis, predictive models were constructed in successive steps, as follows: we first modeled patient characteristics, followed by lesion characteristics, and then procedure-related variables. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) are reported as appropriate. *P* values below 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance. All analyses were done with the R statistical software package (version 3.1.3, R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

Results

Characteristics of patients and procedures are summarized in Table 1. We analyzed a total of 249 biopsies in 240 patients

Table 3. Multivariate analysis of risk factors for hemoptysis							
	Any hemoptysis		Mild hemoptysis		Abundant hemoptysis		
	Odds ratio (95% CI)	Р	Odds ratio (95% CI)	Р	Odds ratio (95% CI)	Р	
Female gender	2.47 (1.24–5.00)	0.008	2.77 (1.13–6.83)	0.013	2.19 (0.83–5.78)	0.083	
Transpulmonary needle-path length	1.29 (1.06–1.58)	0.014	1.29 (1.01–1.66)	0.041	1.30 (0.99–1.72)	0.049	
Lesion size	0.82 (0.66–0.97)	0.044	0.69 (0.50–0.95)	0.022	0.94 (0.75–1.17)	0.57	
Coaxial tip outside the lesion	1.33 (0.81–2.33)	0.28	0.27 (0.06–1.30)	0.10	2.67 (0.94–7.54)	0.062	

(86 women and 154 men). Seven patients had 2 biopsies and one patient had 3 biopsies. Mean age was 67±10.5 years (range, 31–89 years).

The mean size of the lesions was 41 ± 38 mm (median, 31 mm). Of the lesions, 35% were located in the lower lobes (n=87) and 49% in a nonperipheral location (n=122). The mean transpulmonary needle-path length was 17 ± 17 mm and the mean lesion diameter along the needle path was 22 ± 16 mm. Diameter of the lesion along the needle path was smaller than the 22 mm needle-throw of the cutting needle in 61% of the lesions (153/249). The coaxial needle tip remained outside the target lesion in only 41 procedures (17%).

The mean number of tissue samples per procedure was 3 ± 1 , and 243 procedures (98%) yielded a definite diagnosis. Among the 243 lesions with a definite diagnosis, 201 were malignant (adenocarcinomas, n=98; squamous cell carcinoma, n=33; metastasis, n=28; other malignant tumors, n=42) and 42 were nonmalignant (benign tumors, n=4; others, n=38).

Pulmonary bleeding, including alveolar hemorrhage and hemoptysis, complicated 60% of the procedures (150/249). Alveolar hemorrhage occurred in 145 procedures (58%). There were 59 cases of grade 1 alveolar hemorrhage (24%), 85 cases of grade 2 alveolar hemorrhage (34%) and one case of grade 3 alveolar hemorrhage (0.4%). Hemoptysis occurred in 44 cases (18%). It was mild in 24 cases (10%) and abundant in 20 cases (8%). Concordance between the occurrence of significant alveolar hemorrhage (grade \geq 2) and hemoptysis was poor (κ=0.28; 95% CI [0.16-0.40]). All pulmonary bleeds resolved spontaneously; none necessitated embolization or surgery.

In univariate analysis, female gender (P = 0.002) and smaller lesion size (P < 0.001) were significant nonmodifiable predictors of hemoptysis (any abundance) and mild hemoptysis. Among the

modifiable variables, transpulmonary needle-path length (P < 0.001, P = 0.006) and lesion diameter along the needle axis (P = 0.015, P = 0.004) were significant risk factors for hemoptysis (any abundance), and for mild hemoptysis, respectively. Lower-lobe lesion location (P = 0.046) was a significant predictor of mild hemoptysis only. Univariate analysis also identified smaller lesion size (P = 0.026), higher lesion attenuation (P = 0.002) and coaxial needle tip outside the target lesion (P < 0.001) as significant predictors of abundant hemoptysis (Table 2).

When the lesion diameter along the needle axis was smaller than the 22 mm needle-throw, the odds ratio for abundant hemoptysis was 2.69 (1.01-9.60; P = 0.013), but this was not a risk factor for mild hemoptysis. Lesion size correlated with lesion diameter along the needle axis (P < 0.001). The number of consecutive CT acquisitions was significantly higher in patients with hemoptysis (any abundance) (P = 0.001) or abundant hemoptysis (P =0.005). This was not the case for mild hemoptysis (P = 0.15). A smaller number of biopsy sampling was paradoxically associated to an increased occurrence of hemoptysis (any abundance) (P = 0.011) and abundant hemoptysis (P = 0.001). The rate of hemoptysis was not significantly different between the two radiologists (29/170 [17%] vs. 15/79 [19%], P = 0.70) (Table 2).

In multivariate analysis (Table 3), female gender (P = 0.008), a longer transpulmonary needle path (P = 0.014) and smaller lesion size (P = 0.044) were the only independent risk factors for hemoptysis of any abundance and for mild hemoptysis. The needle-path length was the only independent risk factor for abundant hemoptysis, with P value at the limit of significance (P = 0.05). As lesion size and patient gender interacted (P < 0.001, smaller lesions being found in women), we performed multivariate analyses within gender strata. These

analyses identified no other predictors apart from transpulmonary needle-path length (OR=1.26 [1.04; 1.54]; P = 0.019) and lesion size (OR=0.78 [0.63; 0.95]; P = 0.022).

Discussion

We found that female gender, smaller lesion size, and longer transpulmonary needle path were independent risk predictors for hemoptysis during transthoracic needle biopsy. Few studies have specifically examined predictors of hemoptysis in this setting (13, 16), and most grouped hemoptysis together with alveolar hemorrhage under the term "pulmonary bleeding" (12, 14, 17–21).

Alveolar hemorrhage is a frequent complication of lung TTNB but is usually limited to the needle path. It is reported to occur in up to 66% of procedures (9), while hemoptysis is reported to occur in up to 20% of procedures (22). Interestingly, we found that the occurrence of hemoptysis and alveolar hemorrhage were independent. Hemoptysis and alveolar hemorrhage occurred in respectively 18% and 58% of our procedures, values on the high side of previously reported rates. However, as suggested by Tai et al. (15), hemoptysis limited to hemorrhagic sputum may have been underreported. The higher rate of hemoptysis in our study may also be related to the use of cutting needles and a coaxial technique, which were found to increase the risk of bleeding in some series (15, 16, 23) but not in others (17, 23-25).

An association between female gender and pulmonary bleeding has already been reported, based on both univariate and multivariate analysis (15, 16, 18). Tai et al. (15) have suggested that females may have confounding morbidities, without any further explanation. We also found a significant interaction between female gender and smaller lesion size. Because the smaller lesion size in women might have been a confounding factor, we performed a second multivariate analysis that excluded gender, but this did not unmask other risk factors.

Small lesion size is one of the most frequently reported risk factors for pulmonary bleeding (12, 13, 15, 17-19, 24, 26). A higher bleeding rate has been reported for lesions with diameters smaller than 20 mm (12, 19, 26), a value corresponding to the throw-length of most cutting needles. Some authors have speculated that the higher bleeding rate observed in patients with lesions smaller than 20 mm may be due to extension of the cutting needle into the normal surrounding lung parenchyma (12, 19, 26). We distinguished between the target lesion size and its diameter along the needle axis. A diameter along the needle axis smaller than the 22 mm needle throw was not a significant risk factor in multivariate analysis, and neither was "coaxial needle tip outside the target lesion", despite the significance of both parameters in univariate analysis. The observed interaction between lesion size and lesion diameter along the needle axis might have masked a relation between the latter parameter and the risk of hemoptysis. It is noteworthy in this respect that a study of tumor perfusion based on dynamic contrast-enhanced CT showed higher perfusion of smaller lesions, which might contribute to a higher risk of hemoptysis (27).

The association observed here between transpulmonary needle-path length and hemoptysis is in keeping with previous reports (12, 13, 15, 17-20, 24, 28). Transpulmonary needle-path length is a modifiable parameter that depends on patient positioning. Increasing the length of lung parenchyma crossed by the biopsy needle increases the risk of damaging pulmonary vessels, a known risk factor for pulmonary bleeding (17). In a comparison of two techniques for TTNB of small subpleural lesions, the long needle-path technique was associated with a higher risk of bleeding than the short needle-path technique (28). Longer transpulmonary needle paths also correspond to more centrally located lesions that are difficult to reach and sometimes require multiple needle reorientations (15, 17). However, in our study, bleeding never occurred during needle positioning but only after obtaining at least one sample. It has been suggested that the larger size of pulmonary vessels around centrally located lesions may explain the increased risk of major bleeding (17). This is supported by our finding that transpulmonary needle-path length was the only independent risk factor for abundant hemoptysis.

None of the other factors we evaluated was independently predictive of hemoptysis. In particular, we found no association between patient position (including the ipsilateral decubitus) and hemoptysis. This is in keeping with previous studies showing no influence of patient position on the risk of pulmonary bleeding or pneumothorax (20, 29). Some authors found age, emphysema, subsolid type, nonperipheral, middle, and basal location, and dual antiplatelet therapy to be significantly associated with pulmonary hemorrhage after TTNB, but most of these studies used only univariate analysis (15-17, 21, 29). Although pulmonary hypertension has also been identified as a potential risk factor, no significant association between pulmonary hypertension and high-grade pulmonary bleeding was found in recent studies (15, 30). Similar to the report of Yeow et al. (12), the level of experience was not found to influence the hemoptysis risk in our study. The influence of the radiologist experience level may have been masked by the fact that difficult cases, especially biopsies of small nodules, were preferably referred to the more experienced radiologist.

All factors predictive of hemoptysis identified here are also known predictors of pneumothorax. However, transpulmonary needle-path length is the only modifiable parameter, and also the only predictor of abundant hemoptysis. Choosing a patient position that shortens the transpulmonary needle path could reduce the risk of both hemoptysis and abundant hemoptysis, and has also been reported to reduce the risk of pneumothorax (12–14, 20, 29, 31). However, in patients with small subpleural lesions, a better diagnostic yield has been reported with an indirect approach that involves a longer intrapulmonary needle path (28, 32).

We found that a smaller number of biopsy sampling was paradoxically associated to an increased occurrence of hemoptysis. This is due to the fact that hemoptysis was often observed after the first sampling, leading to interruption of the procedure.

This study has several limitations resulting from its retrospective design. Data on hemoptysis were collected from the radiology reports, with a risk of inconsistent estimation of its abundance. However, the use of a structured report form that included hemoptysis occurrence probably limited this risk, as did the simple distinction we made between mild (limited to sputum) and abundant hemoptysis. Another limitation is the small number of cases of abundant hemoptysis, together with interactions between some of the study variables, which may have masked other predictors in multivariate analysis. None of the hemoptysis cases required specific therapy. We had data on hospitalization duration for all patients but we could not distinguish between hospitalization due to hemoptysis or other reasons. Thus, we could not use the stratification of complication severity proposed in the Quality Improvement Guidelines for Percutaneous Biopsy of the Society of Interventional Radiology (33) in which hemoptysis is considered as a major complication if it requires hospitalization or specific therapy. The proportion of biopsies leading to a definite diagnosis was in the upper range of values reported in these guidelines (98% vs. 77%-96%) (33).

In conclusion, we found that female gender, smaller lesion size, and a longer transpulmonary needle path were predictive of hemoptysis after lung TTNB. Needle-path length was the only predictor of abundant hemoptysis. The risk of hemoptysis can thus be reduced by optimizing the needle trajectory and patient position, thereby shortening the transpulmonary needle path.

Conflict of interest disclosure

The authors declared no conflicts of interest.

References

- Wang Memoli JS, Nietert PJ, Silvestri GA. Meta-analysis of guided bronchoscopy for the evaluation of the pulmonary nodule. Chest 2012; 142:385–393. [CrossRef]
- Labbé C, Beaudoin S, Martel S, et al. Diagnostic yield of non-guided flexible bronchoscopy for peripheral pulmonary neoplasia. Thorac Cancer 2015; 6:517–523. [CrossRef]
- Devesa SS, Bray F, Vizcaino AP, Parkin DM. International lung cancer trends by histologic type: male:female differences diminishing and adenocarcinoma rates rising. Int J Cancer 2005; 117:294–299. [CrossRef]
- Brooks DR, Austin JHM, Heelan RT, et al. Influence of type of cigarette on peripheral versus central lung cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev 2005; 14:576–581. [CrossRef]
- Arcila ME, Oxnard GR, Nafa K, et al. Rebiopsy of lung cancer patients with acquired resistance to EGFR inhibitors and enhanced detection of the T790M mutation using a locked nucleic acid-based assay. Clin Cancer Res 2011; 17:1169–1180. [CrossRef]
- Chouaid C, Dujon C, Do P, et al. Feasibility and clinical impact of re-biopsy in advanced non small-cell lung cancer: a prospective multicenter study in a real-world setting (GFPC study 12-01). Lung Cancer 2014; 86:170–173. [CrossRef]
- Wagnetz U, Menezes RJ, Boerner S, et al. CT screening for lung cancer: implication of lung biopsy recommendations. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2012; 198:351–358. [CrossRef]

- Laurent F, Montaudon M, Latrabe V, Bégueret H. Percutaneous biopsy in lung cancer. Eur J Radiol 2003; 45:60–68. [CrossRef]
- Freund MC, Petersen J, Goder KC, Bunse T, Wiedermann F, Glodny B. Systemic air embolism during percutaneous core needle biopsy of the lung: frequency and risk factors. BMC Pulm Med 2012; 12:2. [CrossRef]
- Moore EH. Technical aspects of needle aspiration lung biopsy: a personal perspective. Radiology 1998; 208:303–318. [CrossRef]
- Ko JP, Shepard JO, Drucker EA, et al. Factors influencing pneumothorax rate at lung biopsy: are dwell time and angle of pleural puncture contributing factors? Radiology 2001; 218:491–496. [CrossRef]
- Yeow K-M, Su I-H, Pan K-T, et al. Risk factors of pneumothorax and bleeding: multivariate analysis of 660 CT-guided coaxial cutting needle lung biopsies. Chest 2004; 126:748–754. [CrossRef]
- Loh SE, Wu DD, Venkatesh SK, et al. CT-guided thoracic biopsy: evaluating diagnostic yield and complications. Ann Acad Med Singap 2013; 42:285–290.
- Chakrabarti B, Earis JE, Pandey R, et al. Risk assessment of pneumothorax and pulmonary haemorrhage complicating percutaneous co-axial cutting needle lung biopsy. Respir Med 2009; 103:449–455. [CrossRef]
- Tai R, Dunne RM, Trotman-Dickenson B, et al. Frequency and severity of pulmonary hemorrhage in patients undergoing percutaneous CT-guided transthoracic lung biopsy: single-institution experience of 1175 cases. Radiology 2016; 279:287–296. [CrossRef]
- Song YS, Park CM, Park KW, et al. Does antiplatelet therapy increase the risk of hemoptysis during percutaneous transthoracic needle biopsy of a pulmonary lesion? AJR Am J Roentgenol 2013; 200:1014–1019. [CrossRef]
- Nour-Eldin N-EA, Alsubhi M, Naguib NN, et al. Risk factor analysis of pulmonary hemorrhage complicating CT-guided lung biopsy in coaxial and non-coaxial core biopsy techniques in 650 patients. Eur J Radiol 2014; 83:1945–1952. [CrossRef]

- Yildirim E, Kirbas I, Harman A, et al. CT-guided cutting needle lung biopsy using modified coaxial technique: factors effecting risk of complications. Eur J Radiol 2009; 70:57–60. [CrossRef]
- Yeow KM, See LC, Lui KW, et al. Risk factors for pneumothorax and bleeding after CT-guided percutaneous coaxial cutting needle biopsy of lung lesions. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2001; 12:1305–1312. [CrossRef]
- Li Y, Du Y, Yang HF, Yu JH, Xu XX. CT-guided percutaneous core needle biopsy for small (≤20 mm) pulmonary lesions. Clin Radiol 2013; 68:e43–e48. [CrossRef]
- Wiener RS, Schwartz LM, Woloshin S, Welch HG. Population-based risk for complications after transthoracic needle lung biopsy of a pulmonary nodule: an analysis of discharge records. Ann Intern Med 2011; 155:137–144. [CrossRef]
- Lu C-H, Hsiao C-H, Chang Y-C, et al. Percutaneous computed tomography-guided coaxial core biopsy for small pulmonary lesions with ground-glass attenuation. J Thorac Oncol 2012; 7:143–150. [CrossRef]
- Guimaraes M, Marchiori E, Hochhegger B, Chojniak R, Gross J. CT-guided biopsy of lung lesions: defining the best needle option for a specific diagnosis. Clinics 2014; 69:335–340. [CrossRef]
- Aktas AR, Gozlek E, Yazkan R, et al. Transthoracic biopsy of lung masses: Non technical factors affecting complication occurrence. Thorac Cancer 2015; 6:151–158. [CrossRef]
- 25. Laurent F, Latrabe V, Vergier B, Michel P. Percutaneous CT-guided biopsy of the lung: comparison between aspiration and automated cutting needles using a coaxial technique. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2000; 23:266–272. [CrossRef]
- Laurent F, Latrabe V, Vergier B, Montaudon M, Vernejoux J-M, Dubrez J. CT-guided transthoracic needle biopsy of pulmonary nodules smaller than 20 mm: results with an automated 20-gauge coaxial cutting needle. Clin Radiol 2000; 55:281–287. [CrossRef]

- Kiessling F, Boese J, Corvinus C, et al. Perfusion CT in patients with advanced bronchial carcinomas: a novel chance for characterization and treatment monitoring? Eur Radiol 2004; 14:1226–1233. [CrossRef]
- Gupta S, Krishnamurthy S, Broemeling LD, et al. Small (</=2-cm) subpleural pulmonary lesions: short- versus long-needle-path CT-guided Biopsy--comparison of diagnostic yields and complications. Radiology 2005; 234:631–637. [CrossRef]
- Heyer CM, Reichelt S, Peters SA, Walther JW, Müller K-M, Nicolas V. Computed tomographynavigated transthoracic core biopsy of pulmonary lesions. Acad Radiol 2008; 15:1017–1026. [CrossRef]
- Manhire A, Charig M, Clelland C, et al. Guidelines for radiologically guided lung biopsy. Thorax 2003; 58:920–936. [CrossRef]
- Anderson J, Murchison J, Patel D. CT-guided lung biopsy: factors influencing diagnostic yield and complication rate. Clin Radiol 2003; 58:791–797. [CrossRef]
- Tanaka J, Sonomura T, Shioyama Y, et al. "Oblique path"--the optimal needle path for computed tomography-guided biopsy of small subpleural lesions. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 1996; 19:332–334. [CrossRef]
- Gupta S, Wallace MJ, Cardella JF, Kundu S, Miller DL, Rose SC. Quality improvement guidelines for percutaneous needle biopsy. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2010; 21:969–975. [CrossRef]